

Page 21, line 11, delete "means" and insert --assembly--.

REMARKS

Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

The Examiner's rejections will be considered in the order of their occurrence in the Official Action.

Paragraph 1 of the Office Action

The drawings have been objected to.

Submitted under separate cover and addressed to the Examiner is applicant's proposed amendment of the drawing. Specifically, in Figure 1, 2 and 3 of the drawings as originally filed, reference numbers "10" and "19" have been added to Figure 1. Reference number "33" has been changed to "23" and numbers "11" and "28" have been added to Figure 2. Reference number "28" has been added to Figure 3. All corrections and additions have been made in red ink.

In light of the proposed drawing amendment, it is therefore submitted that the objection to the drawings as originally filed has been overcome, and withdrawal of the objection to the drawings is respectfully requested.

Paragraphs 2 and 3 of the Office Action

The abstract has been objected to for the informalities noted in the Office Action.

The abstract has been amended in a manner believed to clarify any informalities in the language, particularly at the points identified in the Office Action.

Withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

Paragraph 4 of the Office Action

The specification has been objected to for the informalities noted in the Office Action.

The specification has been amended in a manner believed to clarify any informalities in the language, particularly at the points identified in the Office Action.

Withdrawal of the objection is respectfully requested.

Paragraph 5 of the Office Action

Claims 2-20 have been objected to for the informalities noted in the Office Action.

The claims have been amended in a manner believed to clarify any informalities in the language, particularly at the points identified in the Office Action.

Withdrawal of the objection to claims 2-20 is therefore respectfully requested.

Paragraph 6 of the Office Action

Claims 3-10 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112 (first paragraph) as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to make and/or use the invention.

The claims have been amended in a manner believed to clarify the language, particularly at the points identified in the Office Action.

Withdrawal of the §112 (first paragraph) rejection of claims 3-10 is respectfully requested.

Paragraph 7 of the Office Action


Claims 1-12 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112 (second paragraph) as being indefinite.

The above amendments to the claims are believed to clarify the requirements of the rejected claims, especially the particular points identified in the Office Action.

Withdrawal of the §112 rejection of claims 1-12 is therefore respectfully requested.

In light of the foregoing amendments and remarks, early reconsideration and allowance of this application are most courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Ivar M. Kaardal (Reg. No. 29,812)
KAARDAL & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
3500 South First Avenue Circle, Suite 250
Sioux Falls, SD 57105-5802
(605)336-9446 FAX (605)336-1931
e-mail patent@kaardal.com

Date: _____

9-6-00

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with proper postage as First Class mail in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on this _____th day of _____, 2000.

Jody Bickett